



सर्व शिक्षा अभियान  
सब पढ़ें सब बढ़ें

## **4<sup>th</sup> HALF YEARLY MONITORING REPORT OF (JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA)**



on

**MDM for the State of  
BIHAR**

**Period: 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2012**

**Districts Covered**

- 1. BANKA**
- 2. BHAGALPUR**
- 3. KAIMUR**
- 4. KISHANGANJ**
- 5. PURNIA**

**Sponsored by MHRD, Govt. of India**  
**Prof. Shoeb Abdullah, MI Coordinator, SSA**  
**Institute of Advanced Studies in Education (IASE)**  
**Faculty of Education**

## **Acknowledgements**

**The monitoring report on activities of SSA in the five districts of Bihar is the result of collective effort put by the team members of the Department of Teacher Training and Non Formal Education (Institute of Advanced Studies in Education), F/O Education, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi – 110025, and field investigators. Monitoring team, consisting of one faculty member and 20 field investigators visited 40 schools of each of the five districts and collected empirical evidences on the status of SSA and MDM activities. This monitoring report has taken the present shape with the active and constructive support extended by SSA functionaries of Bihar.**

**The team is grateful to Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh State, Project Director and Ravi Shankar Singh, State Programme Officer, SSA Bihar, for his timely guidance and facilitation during our field work**

**The team expresses heartfelt thanks to Sh. Md. A. Hasan , DPO, Banka, Sh. Subhash Kumar Gupta, DPO, Bhagalpur, Sh. Samar Bahadur Singh, DPO, Kaimur, Sh. Kundan Kumar, DPO, Kishanganj, Smt. Raj Kumari, DPO, Purnia and other SSA functionaries of all five districts of Bihar for their cooperation and Support. The following nodal MI monitored the above districts.**

**Mr. M H Quasmi (Bhagalpur)**

**Dr. Jasim Ahamad (Kishanganj)**

**Dr. Ansar Alam (Purnea)**

**Mr. Shakeel Ahmad Khan (Banka and Kaimur)**

**4<sup>th</sup> Half Yearly Monitoring Report of IASE,  
Jamia Millia Islamia  
New Delhi**

**on**

**MDM for the State of Bihar for the period of  
1<sup>st</sup> April 2012 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2012**

**1. General Information**

Sl. No.	Information	Details																						
1.	Name of the monitoring institute	<b>Jamia Millia Islamia</b>																						
2.	Period of the report	<b>1<sup>st</sup> April 2012 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2012</b>																						
3.	Fund Released for the period																							
4.	No. of Districts allotted	<b>Five</b>																						
5.	Districts' name	1. Banka 2. Bhagalpur 3. Kaimur 4. Kishanganj 5. Purnia																						
6.	Date of visit to the Districts / Schools (Information is to be given district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	1. Banka – 23.08.2012 to 01.09.2012 2. Bhagalpur – 23.08..2012 to 01.09.2012 3. Kaimur – 29.06.2012 to 08.07.2012 4. Kishanganj – 24.08.2012 to 02.09.2012 5. Purnia – 25.08.2012 to 03.07.2012																						
7.	Total number of elementary schools (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) in the Districts Covered by MI (Information is to be given district wise	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left;">District Name</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Type of School</th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Middle</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Primary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">BANKA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1226</td> <td style="text-align: center;">846</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2072</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">BHAGALPUR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1506</td> <td style="text-align: center;">835</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2341</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">KAIMUR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">615</td> <td style="text-align: center;">590</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1205</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">KISHANGANJ</td> <td style="text-align: center;">849</td> <td style="text-align: center;">566</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1415</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	District Name	Type of School		Total	Middle	Primary	BANKA	1226	846	2072	BHAGALPUR	1506	835	2341	KAIMUR	615	590	1205	KISHANGANJ	849	566	1415
District Name	Type of School			Total																				
	Middle	Primary																						
BANKA	1226	846	2072																					
BHAGALPUR	1506	835	2341																					
KAIMUR	615	590	1205																					
KISHANGANJ	849	566	1415																					

	i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc.)	<b>PURNIA</b>	1082	832	1914
		<b>Total</b>	5278	3669	8947
8.	Number of elementary schools monitored (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) Information is to be given for district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	<b>District Name</b>	<b>Type of School</b>		<b>Total</b>
			<b>Middle</b>	<b>Primary</b>	
		<b>BANKA</b>	8	32	40
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	2	38	40
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	9	31	40
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	8	32	40
		<b>PURNIA</b>	12	28	40
		<b>Total</b>	39	161	200
9.	Types of school visited	1. Banka – School 40, NPGEL 10, KGB 10, AIE Center : 3 2. Bhagalpur – School 40, KGB 7, NPGEL 13, AIE Center : 5 3. Kaimur – School 40, NPGEL 6, KGB 6, AIE Center: 6 4. Kishanganj–School 40, NPGEL 2, KGB 6, AIE: not working 5. Purnia–School 40, NPEGEL 2, KGB 8, AIE Center : 6 Total – School 200, NPEGEL 33, KGB 37, AIE Center: 20			
a)	Special training centers (Residential)	1. Banka = 1 2. Bhagalpur = 3 3. Kaimur = 2 4. Kishanganj = 0 5. Purnia = 2			
b)	Special training centers (Non Residential)	1. Banka = 2 2. Bhagalpur = 2 3. Kaimur = 4 4. Kishanganj = 0 5. Purnia = 4			
c)	Schools in Urban Areas	1. Banka = 1 2. Bhagalpur = 6 3. Kaimur = 3 4. Kishanganj = 7 5. Purnia = 5			
d)	School sanctioned with Civil Works	1. Banka = 33 2. Bhagalpur = 26 3. Kaimur = 34 4. Kishanganj = 34 5. Purnia = 34			

e)	School from NPEGEL Blocks	1. Banka = 10 2. Bhagalpur = 13 3. Kaimur = 6 4. Kishanganj = 2 5. Purnia = 2
f)	Schools having CWSN	1. Banka = 22 2. Bhagalpur = 16 3. Kaimur = 26 4. Kishanganj = 33 5. Purnia = 30
g)	School covered under CAL programme	1. Banka = 5 2. Bhagalpur = 4 3. Kaimur = 3 4. Kishanganj = 3 5. Purnia = 3
h)	KGBVs	1. Banka = 10 2. Bhagalpur = 7 3. Kaimur = 6 4. Kishanganj = 6 5. Purnia = 8
10.	Number of schools visited by Nodal Officer of the Monitoring Institute	43
11.	Whether the draft report has been shared with the SPO : YES / NO	Yes
12.	After submission of the draft report to the SPO whether the MI has received any comments from the SPO: YES / NO	Yes
13.	Before sending the reports to the GOI whether the MI has shared the report with SPO: YES / NO	Yes

14. Details regarding discussion held with state officials: No remarks sent

15. Selection Criteria for Schools

At least 5-10% primary, respect upper primary, having NPEGEL, CWSN, attached KGBVs, schools running RBC and NRBC

16. **Items to be attached with the report:**

- a) List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI.
- b) Name, Designations & address of persons contacted.
- c) Copy of Office order, notification etc. discussed in the report.
- d) Any other relevant documents.

## Executive summary of MDM Report

Sl No	Intervention & sub activity	District	Strengths	Weaknesses
11	11.1 Regularity in serving the meal	<b>BANKA</b>	(1) Out of 40 schools in the district the hot cooked meal is being served in 10 (25%) schools of Banka.	(1) There was interruption in the midday meal in 39 (97.5%) of the schools due to shortage of food grain, fuel and cooking cost.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	(1) Out of 40 schools in the district the hot cooked meal is being served in 5 (12.5%) schools of the district.	(1) There was interruption in the midday meal in 34 (85%) of the schools due to shortage of fuel, late delivery of food grains and cooking cost of food.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	(1) Out of 40 schools in the district the hot cooked meal is being served in 3 (7.5%) schools of the district.	(1) There was interruption in the midday meal in 36 (90%) of the schools due to shortage of food grains or late delivery of food grains, shortage of fuel and due to cooking cost.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	(1) Out of 40 schools in the district the hot cooked meal is being served in 9 (22.5%)	(1) There was interruption in the midday meal in 29 (72.5%) of the

			schools of the district.	schools due to the late delivery of food grains, shortage of fuel and due to cooking cost.
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Out of 40 schools in the district the hot cooked meal is being served in 3 (7.5%) schools of the district.	(1) There was interruption in the midday meal in 37 (92.5%) of the schools due to shortage of foodgrains, late delivery and cooking cost of food.
	11.2 Trends-Extent of variations as per school records	<b>BANKA</b>	As per MDM record 11139 (74.14%) children opted for MDM out of 15023 children enrolled in 40 schools. 10364 (68.98%) children attended school on the day of visit, 8351 (55.58%) were availing MDM as per MDM register. 9229 (61.43%) were actually availing MDM on the day of visit while 8749 (58.23%) availed MDM on the previous day.	Large variation is found in enrolment and MDM register.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	As per MDM record 16105 (62.96%) children opted for MDM out of 25578 children enrolled in	Large variation is found in enrolment and MDM register.



			40 schools. 14536 (56.83%) children attended school on the day of visit, 13201 (51.61%) were availing MDM as per MDM register. 12714 (49.70%) were actually availing MDM on the day of visit while 11515 (45.02%) availed MDM on the previous day.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	As per MDM record 11632 (70.09%) children opted for MDM out of 16595 children enrolled. 11489 (69.23%) children attended school on the day of visit, 10640 (64.01%) were availing MDM as per MDM register. 11311 (68.12%) were actually availing MDM on the day of visit while 10426 (62.83%) availed MDM on the previous day.	A minor variation of 1% is found in enrolment and MDM register.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	As per MDM record 7811 (64.26%) children opted for MDM out of 12155 children enrolled. 7516 (61.83%) children attended	Large variation of 3% is found in enrolment and MDM register.

			<p>school on the day of visit, 7708 (63.41%) were availing MDM as per MDM register. 6517 (53.62%) were actually availing MDM on the day of visit while 7063 (58.12%) availed MDM on the previous day.</p>	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	<p>As per MDM record 12397 (64.24%) children opted for MDM out of 19299 children enrolled. 12112 (60.76%) children attended school on the day of visit, 11662 (60.43%) were availing MDM as per MDM register. 11750 (60.88%) were actually availing MDM on the day of visit while 1132 (58.65%) availed MDM on the previous day.</p>	<p>A minor variation of 4% is found in enrolment and MDM register.</p>
	11.3 Regularity in delivering food grains to the school level	<b>BANKA</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools 17 (42.5%) received foodgrains regularly. Food grain was delivered at school in 11 (27.5%) schools. The quantity as per marks was observed in 13 (32.5%)</p>	<p>23 (57.5%) did not received foodgrains regularly while 39 (97.5%) school have complained delay in receiving food grains.</p>

			schools.	
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools 13 (32.5%) received foodgrains regularly. Food grain was delivered at school in 7 (17.5%) schools. The quantity as per marks was observed in 10 (25%) schools.	27 (67.5%) did not received foodgrains regularly while 30 (75%) school have complained delay in receiving food grains.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Out of 40 schools 3 (7.5%) received foodgrains regularly. Food grain was delivered at school in 5 (12.5%) schools. The quantity as per marks was observed in 8 (20%) schools.	37 (92.5%) did not received foodgrains regularly while 28 (70%) school have complained delay in receiving food grains.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Out of 40 schools 8 (20%) received foodgrains regularly. Food grain was delivered at school in 8 (20%) schools. The quantity as per marks was observed in 8 (20%) schools.	32 (80%) did not received foodgrains regularly while 8 (20%) school have complained delay in receiving food grains.
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Out of 40 schools 9 (22.5%) received foodgrains regularly. Food grain was delivered at school in 5 (12.5%) schools. The quantity as per	31 (77.5%) did not received foodgrains regularly while 30 (75%) school have complained delay in receiving food grains.

			marks was observed in 7 (17.5%) schools.	
	11.4 Regularity in delivering cooking cost to the school level	<b>BANKA</b>	Out of 40 schools 27 (67.5%) received cooking cost regularly.	13 (32.5%) did not receive cooking cost regularly while 39 (97.5%) schools complained for delay in cooking cost.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools 20 (50%) receive cooking cost regularly.	20 (50%) did not receive cooking cost regularly while 34 (85%) schools complained for delay in cooking cost.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Out of 40 schools 17 (42.5%) receive cooking cost regularly.	23 (57.5%) did not receive cooking cost regularly while 38 (95%) schools complained for delay in cooking cost.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Out of 40 schools 8 (20%) receive cooking cost regularly.	32 (80%) did not receive cooking cost regularly while 8 (20%) schools complained for delay in cooking cost.
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Out of 40 schools 8 (20%) receive cooking cost regularly.	32 (80%) did not receive cooking cost regularly while 38 (95%) schools complained for delay in cooking cost. v.
	11.5 Social Equity	<b>BANKA</b>	No discrimination on the basis of caste or	

			religion was observed in any school.	
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	No discrimination on the basis of caste or religion was observed in any school.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	No discrimination on the basis of caste or religion was observed in any school.	
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	No discrimination on the basis of caste or religion was observed in any school.	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	No discrimination on the basis of caste or religion was observed in any school.	
	11.6,7 Variety in Menu	<b>BANKA</b>	Out of 40 schools variety of menu maintained in 6 (15%) schools. Menu include rice and wheat in 9 (22.5%)	34 (85%) schools did not maintain variety of menu.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools variety of menu maintained in 4 (10%) schools. Menu include rice and wheat in 4 (10%)	36 (90%) schools did not maintain variety of menu.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Out of 40 schools variety of menu maintained in 3 (7.5%) schools. Menu include rice	37 (92.5%) schools did not maintain variety of menu.

			and wheat in 3 (7.5%)	
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Out of 40 schools variety of menu maintained in 10 (25%) schools. Menu include rice and wheat in 10 (25%)	30 (75%) schools did not maintain variety of menu.
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Out of 40 schools variety of menu maintained in 3 (7.5%) schools. Menu include rice and wheat in 2 (5%)	37 (92.5%) schools did not maintain variety of menu.
	11.8 Quality & Quantity of Meal	<b>BANKA</b>	Quality of meal was good in 19 (47.5%) schools, average in 1 (2.5%) schools. Quantity of meal was sufficient in 9 (22.5%) schools.	Quality was poor in 20 (50%) schools  Quantity of meals was insufficient in 1 (2.5%) schools.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Quality of meal was good in 33 (82.5%) schools, average in 1 (2.5%) schools. Quantity of meal was sufficient in 34 (85%) schools.	Quality was poor in 6 (15%) schools  Quantity of meals was insufficient in 1 (2.5%) schools.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Quality of meal was good in 37 (92.5%) schools. Quantity of meal was sufficient in 37 (92.5%) schools.	Quality was poor in 3 (7.5%) schools
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Quality of meal was good in 32 (80%) schools. Quantity of meal was sufficient in 31 (77.5%) schools.	Quality was poor in 8 (20%) schools

		<b>PURNIA</b>	Quality of meal was good in 38 (95%) schools. Quantity of meal was sufficient in 38 (95%) schools.	Quality was poor in 2 (5%) schools
	11.9 School health Card Supplementary	<b>BANKA</b>	Out of 40 schools health card is maintained in 17 (42.5) schools. Frequency of health check up was monthly in 2 (5%) schools, half yearly in 4 (10%) schools, yearly in 1 (2.5%) school and occasionally in 5 (12.5%) schools. Micronutrient was given in 14 (35%) schools and deworming medicines were given in 23 (57.5%) schools. The medicines were administered by Govt. doctor in 4 (10%) schools and by teachers in 10 (25%) schools.	23 (57.5%) schools have not maintained health card.  Micronutrients were not administered in 26 (65%) schools and Deworming was not given in 17 (42.5%) schools
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools health card is maintained in 19 (47.5) schools. Frequency of health check up was monthly in 2 (5%) schools, quarterly in 10 (25%), half yearly	21 (52.5%) schools have not maintained health card.  Micronutrients

			<p>in 13 (32.5%) schools, and occasionally in 4 (10%) schools. Micronutrient was given in 14 (35%) schools and deworming medicines were given in 25 (62.5%) schools. The medicines were administered by Govt. doctor in 29 (72.5%) schools</p>	<p>were not administered in 11 (27.5%) schools and Deworming medicine was not given in 15 (37.5%) schools</p>
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools health card is maintained in 16 (40%) schools. Frequency of health check up was monthly in 2 (5%) schools, quarterly in 2 (5%), half yearly in 17 (42.5%) schools and occasionally in 9 (22.5%) schools. Micronutrient was given in 9 (22.5%) schools and deworming medicines were given in 14 (35%) schools. The medicines were administered by Govt. doctor in 34 (85%) schools.</p>	<p>24 (60%) schools have not maintained health card. Micronutrients were not administered in 6 (15%) schools and Deworming was not given in 26 (65%) schools</p>
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools health card is maintained in 20 (50) schools. Frequency</p>	<p>20 (50%) schools have not maintained health card.</p>



			<p>of health check up was monthly in 2 (5%) schools, half yearly in 10 (25%) schools and occasionally in 2 (5%) schools. Micronutrient was given in 12 (30%) schools and deworming medicines were given in 12 (30%) schools. The medicines were administered by Govt. doctor in 4 (10%) schools and by teachers in 4 (10%) schools.</p>	<p>Micronutrients were not administered in 32 (80%) schools and Deworming was not given in 28 (70%) schools</p>
		<b>PURNIA</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools health card is maintained in 8 (20%) schools. Frequency of health check up was monthly in 1 (2.5%) schools, quarterly in 2 (5%), half yearly in 13 (32.5%) schools and occasionally in 18 (45%) schools. Micronutrient was given in 6 (15%) schools and deworming medicines were given in 8 (20%) schools. The medicines were administered by Govt. doctor in 37</p>	<p>32 (80%) schools have not maintained health card.</p> <p>Micronutrients were not administered in 2 (5%) schools and Deworming was not given in 32 (80%) schools</p>

			(92.5%) schools and by teachers in 1 (2.5%) schools.	
	11.10 Status of Cooks	<b>BANKA</b>	Out of 40 schools the meal was cooked and served by cook in 24 (60%), NGO 3 (7.5%), SHG in 9 (22.5%) and by VSS in 3 (7.5%) schools. Cooks and helpers were appointed as per govt. of norms in 21 (52.5%). The cook belongs to SC category in 10 (25%) schools, OBC in 20 (50%) schools and ST in 6 (15%) and minority in 1 (2.5%) school.	
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools the meal was cooked and served by cook in 30 (75%), NGO 2 (5%) and by VSS in 5 (12.5%) schools. Cooks and helpers were appointed as per govt. of norms in 17 (42.5%). The cook belongs to SC category in 3 (7.5%) schools, OBC in 18 (45%) schools and minority in 5 (5%) school.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Out of 40 schools the meal was cooked and served by cook in 35	

			(87.5%) and by VSS in 5 (12.5%) schools. Cooks and helpers were appointed as per govt. of norms in 3 (7.5%). The cook belongs to SC category in 10 (25%) schools, OBC in 20 (50%) schools and ST in 3 (7.5%) and minority in 2 (5%) school.	
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Out of 40 schools the meal was cooked and served by cook in 10 (25%), NGO 3 (7.5%) and by VSS in 5 (12.5%) schools. Cooks and helpers were appointed as per govt. of norms in 38 (95%). The cook belongs to SC category in 3 (7.5%) schools, OBC in 10 (25%) schools and minority in 6 (15%) school.	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Out of 40 schools the meal was cooked and served by cook in 39 (97.5%) schools. Cooks and helpers were appointed as per govt. of norms in 20 (50%). The cook belongs to SC category in 4 (10%) schools, OBC in 29	

			(72.5%) schools and ST in 1 (2.5%) and minority in 3 (7.5%) school.	
11.11-15 Infrastructures	<b>BANKA</b>		Out of 40 schools pucca shed is constructed in 12 (30%) schools and it is used in 12 (30%) schools. Pucca shed was constructed under SSA fund in 5 (12.5%), MDM in 5 (12.5%) schools. Utensils are available in 11 (27.5%) schools. Utensils adequate in 3 (7.5%) and potable water is available in 7 (17.5%) schools.	Pucca shed is not constructed in 28 (70%) schools. Utensils are not available in 29 (72.5%) schools while potable water not available in 33 (82.5%) schools.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Out of 40 schools pucca shed is constructed in 24 (60%) schools and it is used in 13 (32.5%) schools. Pucca shed was constructed under SSA fund in 9 (22.5%), MDM in 15 (37.5%) schools. Utensils are available in 11 (27.5%) schools. Utensils adequate in 27 (67.5%) and potable water is available in 12 (30%) schools.	Pucca shed is not constructed in 16 (40%) schools. Utensils are not available in 29 (72.5%) schools while potable water not available in 28 (70%) schools.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Out of 40 schools pucca shed is	Pucca shed is not constructed in 11

			<p>constructed in 29 (72.5%) schools and it is used in 25 (62.5%) schools. Pucca shed was constructed under SSA fund in 15 (37.5%), MDM in 14 (35%) schools. Utensils are available in 7 (17.5%) schools. Utensils adequate in 31 (77.5%) and potable water is available in 6 (15%) schools.</p>	<p>(27.5%) schools. Utensils are not available in 33 (82.5%) schools while potable water not available in 34 (85%) schools.</p>
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools pucca shed is constructed in 21 (52.5%) schools and it is used in 13 (32.5%) schools. Pucca shed was constructed under SSA fund in 10 (25%), MDM in 11 (27.5%) schools. Utensils are available in 9 (22.5%) schools. Utensils adequate in 31 (77.5%) and potable water is available in 9 (22.5%) schools.</p>	<p>Pucca shed is not constructed in 19 (47.5%) schools. Utensils are not available in 31 (77.5%) schools while potable water not available in 31 (77.5%) schools.</p>
		<b>PURNIA</b>	<p>Out of 40 schools pucca shed is constructed in 33 (82.5%) schools and it is used in 20 (50%) schools. Pucca shed</p>	<p>Pucca shed is not constructed in 7 (17.5%) schools. Utensils are not available in 35 (87.5%) schools</p>

			was constructed under SSA fund in 16 (40%), MDM in 17 (42.5%) schools. Utensils are available in 5 (12.5%) schools. Utensils adequate in 36 (90%) and potable water is available in 5 (12.5%) schools.	while potable water not available in 35 (87.5%) schools.
	11.16 Safety & Hygiene	<b>BANKA</b>	Safety & hygiene is very good in 7 (17.5%), good in 15 (37.5%) and fair in 10 (25%) schools. Cooking is safe in 23 (57.5%) schools.	Cooking process is unsafe in 17 (42.5%)
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Safety & hygiene is very good in 6 (15%), good in 26 (65%) and fair in 1 (2.5%). Cooking is safe in 19 (47.5%) schools.	Cooking process is unsafe in 21 (52.5%)
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Safety & hygiene is very good in 3 (7.5%) and good in 25 (62.5%) schools and fair in 9 (22.5%) schools. Cooking is safe in 15 (37.5%) schools.	Safety & hygiene is 1 (2.5%) school. Cooking process is unsafe in 25 (62.5%)
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Safety & hygiene is very good in 1 (2.5%) and good in 30 (75%) schools. Cooking is safe in 10 (25%) schools.	Cooking process is unsafe in 30 (75%)

		<b>PURNIA</b>	Safety & hygiene is good in 29 (72.5%) schools and fair in 8 (20%) schools. Cooking is safe in 10 (25%) schools.	Cooking process is unsafe in 30 (75%)
	11.17 Community participation & Awareness	<b>BANKA</b>	Participation of parents found in 38 (95%) schools. Participation of VSS in 35 (87.5%), participation by urban body is in 39 (97.5%) schools. Roaster was prepared in 35 (87.5%) schools. The level of common awareness was excellent in 5 (12.5%) and good in 14 (35%) schools.	Parents did not participate in 34 (85%), VSS did not participate in 25 (62.5%) and urban body did not participate in any schools.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Participation of parents found in 18 (45%) schools. Participation of VSS in 29 (72.5%), participation by urban body is in 29 (72.5%) schools. Roaster was prepared in 25 (62.5%) schools. The level of common awareness was excellent in 1 (2.5%) and good in 15 (37.5%) schools.	Parents did not participate in 22 (55%), VSS did not participate in 11 (27.5%) and urban body did not participate in any schools.
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Participation of parents found in 27 (67.5%) schools. Participation of VSS	Parents did not participate in 13 (32.5%), VSS did not participate in 9

			<p>in 31 (77.5%), participation by urban body is in 31 (77.5%) schools. Roaster was prepared in 23 (57.5%) schools. The level of common awareness was excellent in 1 (2.5%) and good in 14 (35%) schools.</p>	<p>(22.5%) and 9 (22.5%) urban body did not participate in any schools.</p>
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	<p>Participation of parents found in 10 (25%) schools. Participation of VSS in 11 (27.5%), participation by urban body is in 10 (25%) schools. Roaster was prepared in 10 (25%) schools. The level of common awareness was excellent in 1 (2.5%) and good in 10 (25%) schools.</p>	<p>Parents did not participate in 30 (75%), VSS did not participate in 29 (72.5%) and 30 (75%) urban body did not participate in any schools.</p>
		<b>PURNIA</b>	<p>Participation of parents found in 23 (57.5%) schools. Participation of VSS in 30 (75%), participation by urban body is in 28 (70%) schools. Roaster was prepared in 8 (20%) schools. The level of common awareness was excellent in 1 (2.5%) and good in 7</p>	<p>Parents did not participate in 17 (42.5%), VSS did not participate in 10 (25%) and 12 (30%) urban body did not participate in any schools.</p>



			(17.5%) schools.	
	11.18 Inspection & Supervision	<b>BANKA</b>	MDM is inspected by State Authority in 2 (5%) schools, District Officials in 8 (20%), Block Officials in 9 (22.5%) and any other officials by 5 (17.5%) schools. Frequency of inspection was monthly in weekly in 5 (17.5%), 8 (20%) schools, Quarterly in 1 (2.5%) and occasionally in 5 (17.5%) schools. The remarks by visiting officer was very good in 2 (5%) and exemplary in 1 (2.5%) school.	Inspection was not done in 21 (52.5%) schools by any official.
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	MDM is inspected by District Officials in 20 (50%), Block Officials in 12 (30%) and any other officials by 2 (5%) schools. Frequency of inspection was weekly in 7 (17.5%), monthly in 23 (57.5%) schools, Quarterly in 4 (10%) and occasionally in 2 (5%) schools. The remarks by visiting officer was very	Inspection was not done in 6 (15%) schools by any official.

			good in 4 (10%), good in 1 (2.5%), satisfactory in 1 (2.5%) and exemplary in 1 (2.5%) school.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	MDM is inspected by District Officials in 5 (12.5%), Block Officials in 33 (82.5%) schools. Frequency of inspection was weekly in 2 (5%), monthly in 30 (75%) schools, Quarterly in 2 (5%) and occasionally in 4 (10%) schools. The remarks by visiting officer was very good in 2 (5%), satisfactory in 2 (5%) and exemplary in 1 (2.5%) school.	Inspection was not done in 2 (5%) schools by any official.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	MDM is inspected by State Authority in 2 (5%) schools, District Officials in 1 (2.5%), Block Officials in 10 (25%) and any other officials by 2 (5%) schools. Frequency of inspection was weekly in 1 (2.5%), monthly in 4 (10%) schools, Quarterly in 2 (5%) and occasionally in 3	Inspection was not done in 25 (62.5%) schools by any official.

			(7.5%) schools. The remarks by visiting officer was satisfactory in 5 (12.5%) and exemplary in 1 (2.5%) school.	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	MDM is inspected by Block Officials in 39 (97.5%) schools. Frequency of inspection was weekly in 2 (5%), monthly in 36 (90%) schools, Quarterly in 1 (2.5%) and occasionally in 1 (2.5%) schools. The remarks by visiting officer was very good in 3 (7.5%), good in 2 (5%), satisfactory in 3 (7.5%) and exemplary in 1 (2.5%) school.	Inspection was not done in 1 school by any official.
	11.19 Impact	<b>BANKA</b>	Impact of MDM on enrolment was seen in 18 (45%) schools. Its impact on attendance was observed in 17 (42.5%). Impact of MDM on health was seen in 17 (42.5) schools and impact of MDM on nutrition status was observed in 17 (42.5%) schools.	Where MDM is not functional enrolment, attendance and health & nutrition is low.

		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	Impact of MDM on enrolment was seen in 20 (50%) schools. Its impact on attendance was observed in 30 (75%). Impact of MDM on health was seen in 37 (92.5) schools and impact of MDM on nutrition status was observed in 40 (100%) schools.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	Impact of MDM on enrolment was seen in 17 (42.5%) schools. Its impact on attendance was observed in 35 (87.5%). Impact of MDM on health was seen in 39 (97.5) schools and impact of MDM on nutrition status was observed in 39 (97.5%) schools.	Where MDM is not functional enrolment, attendance and health & nutrition is low.
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	Impact of MDM on enrolment was seen in 10 (25%) schools. Its impact on attendance was observed in 10 (25%). Impact of MDM on health was seen in 10 (25) schools and impact of MDM on nutrition status was observed	Where MDM is not functional enrolment, attendance and health & nutrition is low.

			in 10 (25%) schools.	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	Impact of MDM on enrolment was seen in 9 (22.5%) schools. Its impact on attendance was observed in 32 (80%). Impact of MDM on health was seen in 40 (100) schools and impact of MDM on nutrition status was observed in 40 (100%) schools.	
	MI impression	<b>BANKA</b>	MI impression about the impact MDM on enrolment and attendance increase was better in 2 (5%) schools, improvement of health in 1 (2.5%) school and overall development in 1 (2.5%) school.	
		<b>BHAGALPUR</b>	MI impression about the impact MDM on enrolment and attendance increase was better in 2 (5%) schools, improvement of health in 3 (7.5%) school and overall development in 3 (7.5%) school.	
		<b>KAIMUR</b>	MI impression about the impact MDM on	

			enrolment and attendance increase was better in 1 (2.5%) schools, improvement of health in 2 (5%) school and overall development in 2 (5%) school.	
		<b>KISHANGANJ</b>	MI impression about the impact MDM on enrolment and attendance increase was better in 1 (2.5%) schools, improvement of health in 1 (2.5%) school and overall development in 2 (5%) school.	
		<b>PURNIA</b>	MI impression about the impact MDM on enrolment and attendance increase was better in 3 (7.5%) schools, improvement of health in 1 (2.5%) school and overall development in 2 (5%) school.	

## **MI Impression**

I visited district of **Banka** in the month of August–September 2012. I and some officers of SSA Office visited 20 schools in different locations. We found that the MDM was functioning properly in all the schools. The meal of the schools was more or less found good. Only few schools had problems due to large number of students because more time in serving mid day meal. The meal was not served hot in those schools which were far away from central kitchen provided by NGO.

During the visit it was found that kitchen, utensils and space are adequate. It was also found that Head Master of school was himself supervising the meal and school teachers were also with him. Kitchen shed was destroyed by storm in one school M.S. Goragama of Belhar block.

In the district of **Bhagalpur** Mid-day Meals were served in most of the schools except a few where it was closed for one to two weeks due to lack of fund or rice. No mishappening was observed or noticed or informed with regard to mid day meal. Students were found satisfied with meals quality as well as quantity. In most of the schools mid day meal distribution was supervised by teachers. In many schools like M.S. Birbanna of Narayanpur Block MDM was not functional due to unavailability of rice.

Sitting arrangement, distribution and discipline was observed best in Urdu M.S. Khiribandh of Jagdishpur Block, M.S. Noorpur of Nath Nagar and M.S. Akbar Nagar of Sriram block.

It was not found that the teachers are advising students to wash their hands before meal. The kitchen shed was leaking in M.S. Amba of Shahkund block and kitchen space was not adequate in M.S. Khanjhiya.

Ethics related to meal distribution, eating habit, sanitation, cleanliness etc. are required to be taken care of at schools like NTPC Harijan Prakshetra.

In the district of **Kaimur** Mid-day Meal was found running in all schools without any obstacles. Most of the schools have pucca kitchen for cooking the meal. Wood, coal or natural gas is used for cooking. Each cook is paid Rs. 1000/- to Rs. 2000/- per month for cooking. The payment to the cook is not paid in time. It is always late, never regular. Irregularity and indiscipline was observed in MDM distribution and pattern of serving meals to the

students. Students were subjected to eat meals on the ground. In some schools carpet was spread for sitting and taking meal. No mishappening was noticed.

During the visit of district **Kishanganj** MI visited in five blocks namely; Kishanganj, Dighalbank, Kachadhaman, Pothya and Terhagachh. After visits schools of these blocks I found that there was a delay in supply of food grains to schools. Due to it MDM was not running in many of the schools. There was a shortage of store rooms particularly in primary schools. Foodgrains was stored in classrooms. Menu of food was duly displayed on the wall of schools. Meals were cooked as per menu. No insecurity is observed by me related to MDM. Overall report of MDM in the district is satisfactory.

I have visited **Purnia** District in the month of August, 2012 for 10 days to monitor the SSA. During the visit MI found that the MDM was functioning in city zone of the central kitchen and outside by the school management. After two days during the visit the whole district MDM was prepared by the school. The central kitchen was closed due to various complaints regarding the MDM i.e. cold, not hygienic, not proper cooked etc. After that the central zone school took burden to prepare the MDM to the students. During the visit, it was observed that the MDM was functioning in the school. According to record, most of the children took the MDM except a few. Some schools had 800 children, it was very difficult to give the proper guidance so most of the school took time on the MDM. MDM of KGBVs is good from the school.

During the visit, MI found that the kitchen, utensils and space was good and it is supervised by the Head Master of the school.





MDM at MS Dharhara, Gopalpur block (Bhagalpur)